

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States than and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Porton 1450

Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,618	12/18/2000	John H. Howard	5181-59100	3682
75	03/01/2006	•	EXAM	INER
LAWERENCE J. MERKEL			DUONG, THOMAS	
CONLEY, ROS	SE, & TAYON, P.C.			
P.O. BOX 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN TX 78767-0398			2145	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination 09/739,618 HOWARD, JOHN H. Art Unit				
	Jason D. Cardone	2145			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief F	Request for Review filed 12/27/05.				
1. Improper Request – The Request reason(s):	st is improper and a conference will not be held for the following				
☐ The request does not include re	een filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. easons why a review is appropriate. ded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.				
	ntinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.				
held. The application remains under app is required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from running from the receipt of the notice of	reals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been been been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date				
☐ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 2-10, 12-20, 22-Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations.	27, 29-35 and 40-44.				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confere action will be mailed. No further action i	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office is required by applicant at this time.				
All participants:	ZARNI MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
(1) Jason D. Cardone (SPE).	(3) Zarni Maung (Conferee SPE).				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

(2) Thomas Duong.

Part of Paper No. 20060220